

**Practice problems (don't turn in):**

1. [DPV] Problem 7.1 and:  
**Can you use the dual LP to prove it's optimal?**
  2. [DPV] Problem 7.4 (LP for Duff beer)
  3. [DPV] Problem 7.5 (LP for canine products)
  4. [DPV] Problem 7.6: Give an example of an LP with unbounded feasible region but bounded optimum.
  5. [DPV] Problem 7.11 (dual to the example)
  6. [DPV] Problem 7.12 (prove that point  $(1.5, 5, 0)$  is optimal.
  7. (*Another reduction from 3SAT to a graph problem.*) Prove the following problem is **NP-hard**:  
Given an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  and an integer  $k > 0$ , determine whether  $G$  contains a subset of  $k$  vertices whose induced subgraph is acyclic.
-

**Problem 1 (MCQs on NP theory and LP.)**

For each part, choose the answer that is *always* true. You do not need to explain your choice. Enter your responses directly on Gradescope.

**Part (a):** (2.5 points) Consider the problem of finding a 5–star graph:

Input: an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ .

output: a set of six vertices  $\{u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_5\}$  such that  $(uv_i) \in E$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ , and  $(v_i v_j) \notin E$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq 5$ , if such set exists, or return NO otherwise.

True or False: this problem is NP-complete.

**A:** True.

**B:** False.

**Part (b):** (2.5 points) Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  be problems such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is NP-complete and  $\mathcal{B}$  does not belong to the class NP. You are told that  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . Which of the following are true? Circle all that apply.

**A:**  $\mathcal{B}$  is NP-hard.

**B:** If an algorithm  $\mathcal{L}$  efficiently solves  $\mathcal{B}$ , then there is an efficient algorithm to solve SAT.

**C:** Given an input  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , one can find a solution to it in polynomial time.

**Part (c):** (2.5 points) Consider an instance of LP with multiple solutions. This is, there are multiple vectors  $x$  in the feasible region such that  $f(x) = c^T x$  is maximum. Let  $x_1, x_2$  be two such solutions.

$\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2$  is also a solution for

**A:** All  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**B:** All  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ .

**C:** All  $\lambda > 0$ .

**D:** None of the other options is correct.

**Part (d):** (3 points) Consider the following instance of LP:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & x + y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & ax + by \leq 1 \\ & x, y \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  are parameters. This problem is infeasible if

**A:**  $a > 0, b < 0$ .

**B:** This instance is always feasible.

**C:**  $a < 0, b < 0$ .

**D:**  $a > 0, b > 0$ .

---

**Part (e):** (3 points) Consider the following instance of LP:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & x + y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & ax + by \leq 1 \\ & x, y \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  are parameters. This problem is unbounded if

- A:**  $a > 0, b < 0$ .
- B:** This instance is always bounded.
- C:**  $a < 0, b < 0$ .
- D:**  $a > 0, b > 0$ .

**Part (f):** (3 points) Consider the following instance of LP:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & x + y \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & ax + by \leq 1 \\ & x, y \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  are parameters. This problem has a unique optimal solution  $(x, y)$  if

- A:**  $a > 0, b > 0$ .
- B:**  $a = b$  and  $a > 0$ .
- C:**  $a > 0, b > 0$  and  $a \neq b$ .
- D:** There is always a unique optimal solution.

**Part (g):** (3.5 points) Consider the following instance of LP:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & 5x_1 + 9x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + x_2 \leq 3 \\ & x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 7 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(x'_1, x'_2)$  be a point in the feasible region, and let  $(y'_1, y'_2)$  be a point in the feasible region of the Dual LP. Which of the following inequalities *must* be true? Check ALL that apply. .

- A:**  $5x'_1 + 12x'_2 \leq 3y'_1 + 7y'_2$
  - B:**  $5x'_1 + 9x'_2 \leq 3y'_1 + 7y'_2$
  - C:**  $4x'_1 + 8x'_2 \leq 4y'_1 + 8y'_2$
  - D:**  $y'_1 + y'_2 \geq 5$
-

**Problem 2 (NP-reduction)**

[DPV 8.9] (Hitting Set). In the **Hitting Set** problem, we are given a family of sets  $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$  and a budget  $b > 0$ , and we wish to find a set  $H$  of size less or equal than  $b$  which intersects every  $S_i$ , if such an  $H$  exists.

Show that **Hitting Set** is NP-complete.